



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.        | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/645,047  | 08/21/2003  | Sampath Purushothaman | YOR920030029US2<br>(16841) | 6546             |
| 23389 7590 08/12/2008<br>SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC<br>400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA<br>SUITE 300<br>GARDEN CITY, NY 11530 |             |                       |                            |                  |
| EXAMINER  |             |                       |                            |                  |
| GRAYBILL, DAVID E   |             |                       |                            |                  |
| ART UNIT  |             | PAPER NUMBER          |                            |                  |
| 2894  |             |                       |                            |                  |
| MAIL DATE   |             | DELIVERY MODE         |                            |                  |
| 08/12/2008  |             | PAPER                 |                            |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/645,047

**Applicant(s)**

PURUSHOTHAMAN ET AL.

**Examiner**

David E. Graybill

**Art Unit**

2894

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David E. Graybill.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Harry A. Hild, Jr.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant asked if there were any suggestions to bring the application into condition for allowance. It was noted that it is usual practice to convey any suggestions which would bring the application into better condition for appeal or allowance; but, presently, there were no such suggestions.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/David E Graybill/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2894

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.